ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

DECISION NOTICES FROM THE CABINET MEETING HELD ON 3 JUNE 2019

REF NO.	DECISION		
C/001/030619	Assets of Community Value – Policy & Guidance Notes for		
	Nominating Bodies and Owners		
C/002/030619	Managing the Coast in a Changing Climate		
C/003/030619	Tivoli Group Ltd – Admissions Agreement to Local		
	Government Pension Scheme		
C/004/030619	Clinical Waste Collection Contract		
C/005/030619	Planning Appeal at Land North of Hook Lane, Pagham		

PLEASE NOTE THAT THESE DECISIONS WILL COME INTO EFFECT FROM 10.00 AM ON WEDNESDAY 12 JUNE 2019 UNLESS THE CALL-IN PROCESS IS APPLIED

If a Councillor wishes to request a call-in of any of the decisions taken above, they will need to take the following steps in line with the Scrutiny Procedure Rules at Part 6 of the Constitution – <u>Scrutiny Procedure Rules (Other)</u>

They will need to:

- Submit their request in writing for a Call-In to the Group Head of Policy & Scrutiny and identify who will act as the lead Member of the Call-In
- Specify which decision is to be the subject of the Call-In
- Explain which of the criteria for the Call-In apply

FULL CABINET DECISION	NO
URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 13.18	NO
OF THE SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES	

SUBJECT: Assets of Community Value – Policy & Guidance Notes for Nominating Bodies and Owners

OFFICER CONTACT: Nat Slade – Group Head of Technical Services Extn: 01903 737683

e.mail: nat.slade@arun.gov.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: Cabinet is asked to recommend to Full Council that the Assets of Community Value Policy and Guidance Notes for Nominating Bodies & Owners be adopted, and to make associated changes to the constitution. The policy provides a framework for the Council to consider and determine Asset of Community Value nominations and any subsequent requests for review, compensation claims and any subsequent requests for review of compensation decisions.

DECISION:

The Cabinet

RECOMMEND TO FULL COUNCIL - That

- 1. the Assets of Community Value Policy and Guidance Notes for Nominating Bodies & Owners be adopted;
- 2. the Group Head of Technical Services be delegated authority to make any future necessary changes to the Policy as a consequence of new legislation or alternative practices;
- 3. the following addition be made to the Council's Constitution at Part 4 (Officer Scheme of Delegation):
 - a. Authority be delegated to the Director of Place and Group Head of Technical Services, or their nominated representative, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Technical Services, to validate, consider and determine nominations for property/land to be listed as an asset of community value under the Assets of Community Value Policy
- 4. The Group Head of Council Advice & Monitoring Officer be authorised to make any further consequential changes required to the Constitution.

REASON FOR THE DECISION: To provide a clear framework in which to deliver the Assets of Community Value processes. **OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED:**

Recommendations (a) to (c) as set out in Recommendation 3 of the report and Recommendations (b) to (d) as set out in Recommendation 4 of the report were rejected as it was felt that the delegations proposed to be given to Officers could not be accepted as Members needed to be given more input to decision making and their needed to be more Member involvement.

CABINET MEMBER(S):

DECLARATIO					IET	None	
MEMBER(S) F	RESPONS	IBLE FOR	DECISI	ON:			
DISPENSATIO	ONS GRA	NTED :				None	
CONFLICT C	F INTER	RESTS DE	CLARE	D BY	Α	CABINET	MEMBE
CONSULTED IN RESPECT OF THIS DECISION: None							

REFERENCE NO: C/002/030619

FULL CABINET DECISION	YES	
URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 14.	1 NO	
OF THE SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES		
SUBJECT: Managing the Coast in a Changing Climate		
OFFICER CONTACT: Roger Spencer – Engineering Servextr: 01903 737812	vices Manager	
e.mail: roger.spencer@arun.gov.uk		
	ntent conclusions	
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: The Report will present the content, conclusions and recommendations of the recently published report by the Committee on		
Climate Change. It is put forward for consideration		
implications for the Arun District. It is anticipated that separ		
will be necessary in respect of individual Council Services.		
DECISION:		
The Cabinet		
RESOLVED		
RESOLVED		
That the report be noted, especially the content and	spirit of Paragraph	
16.		
REASON FOR THE DECISION: Recognition of the pote	ential impacts and	
timescales (long and short) of climate change		
OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED: To ignore the contents		
CABINET MEMBER(S):		
DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY CABINET No	ne	
MEMBER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR DECISION:		
DISPENSATIONS GRANTED : No		
CONFLICT OF INTERESTS DECLARED BY A CABINET MEMBER		
CONSULTED IN RESPECT OF THIS DECISION: None		

FULL CABINET DECISION	NO
URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 14.11	NO
OF THE SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES	

SUBJECT: Tivoli Group Ltd – Admissions Agreement to Local Government Pension Scheme

OFFICER CONTACT: Oliver Handson Extn: 01903 737955 e.mail: <u>oliver.handson@arun.gov.uk</u>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: The novation of the Council's Greenspace Management Contract from ISS Facility Services Landscaping (FSL) to Tivoli Group Ltd was approved by Cabinet on 14 January 2019.

Because of the change in service provider, Cabinet approval is sought once more to authorise entering into the required Guarantee in respect of pension liabilities in the event that these are not met by Tivoli Group Ltd as the admitted body, and to approve entering into the Admissions Agreement itself. **DECISION:**

The Cabinet

RECOMMENDS TO FULL COUNCIL

That the Council acts as a guarantor in respect of any and all pension liabilities which may arise throughout the term of the contract and gives delegated authority to Legal Services to enter into the Admissions Agreement and Guarantee.

REASON FOR THE DECISION: To allow the Council's Legal Services to enter into the required LGPS Admissions Agreement and Guarantee with West Sussex County Council and Tivoli Group Ltd.

To ensure that pension liabilities associated with the agreement are guaranteed throughout the duration of the Greenspace Management Contract in the event these are not met by the admitted body.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED: That Cabinet does not recommend to Full Council that the Council acts as a guarantor in respect of any and all pension liabilities which may arise throughout the term of the contract and does not give delegated authority to Legal Services to enter into the Admission Agreement and Guarantee.

CABINET MEMBER(S):

DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY CABINET MEMBER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR DECISION: DISPENSATIONS GRANTED :	Councillor Dr Walsh – Personal Interest as a Member of West Sussex County Council and in his role as Trustee of the West Sussex Local Pension Scheme Policy for the whole of West Sussex
CONFLICT OF INTERESTS DECLARED BY A CONSULTED IN RESPECT OF THIS DECISION: None	-

FULL CABINET DECISION	YES	
URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 14.11 OF THE SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES	NO	
SUBJECT: Clinical Wasta Collection Contract		

SUBJECT: Clinical Waste Collection Contract OFFICER CONTACT: Oliver Handson Extn: 01903 737955 e.mail: oliver.handson@arun.gov.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: The Council's clinical waste collection service has been delivered under a county-wide framework agreement since 2016. Cabinet authority is sought to enable the Council to continue this method of service delivery by entering into the clinical waste collection call-off contract under the recently re-procured WSCC framework agreement.

DECISION:

The Cabinet

RESOLVED – That

- (1) Authority be provided for the Council to enter into the call-off contract under the recently procured WSCC framework agreement for clinical waste collections for an initial three year term; and
- (2) Authorisation be given to the Group Head of Neighbourhood Services to extend this call off contract, following the initial 3 year term for up to an additional three years, as allowed for under the framework.

REASON FOR THE DECISION: To allow the continued effective and successful delivery of clinical waste collections for Arun residents.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED: Not to provide authority for the Council to enter into the call off contract.

CABINET MEMBER(S):

DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY CABINET None MEMBER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR DECISION:

DISPENSATIONS GRANTED : None CONFLICT OF INTERESTS DECLARED BY A CABINET MEMBER CONSULTED IN RESPECT OF THIS DECISION: None **REFERENCE NO: C/005/030619**

FULL CABINET DECISION	NO
URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 14.11	NO
OF THE SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES	

SUBJECT: Planning Appeal at Land North of Hook Lane, Pagham OFFICER CONTACT: Claire Potts – Strategic Development Team Leader Extn: 01903 737698

e.mail: Claire.potts@arun.gov.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: An appeal has been submitted against the decision of the Council to refuse planning permission for 300 dwellings, care home of up to 80 beds, D1uses (e.g. community facility) of up to 4000sqm including a 2 form entry Primary School, formation of new means of access onto Hook Lane & Pagham Road, new pedestrian & cycle links, the laying out of open space, new strategic landscaping, habitat creation, drainage features & associated ground works & infrastructure on a site to the north of Hook Lane, Pagham. (Planning Application Ref P/6/17/OUT).

The application was refused by Council's Development Control Committee on the 23 January 2019 for one reason, overturning the officers' recommendation of approval. The appeal will be heard in October 2019 and is to be heard by way of a Public Inquiry lasting four days.

This report seeks approval to a supplementary estimate of up to £25,000 to cover the costs of defending this appeal.

DECISION:

The Cabinet

RECOMMEND TO FULL COUNCIL

The approval of a supplementary estimate of £25,000 for costs associated with defending the appeal in respect of application P/6/17/OUT. These include Counsel costs and costs of appointment of a Planning Consultant to assist Members with defending an appeal in respect of Planning Application P/6/17/OUT.

The supplementary estimate equates to a Band D Council Tax of $\pounds 0.41$.

REASON FOR THE DECISION: For an appeal by public inquiry, legal representation is required. Planning consultant support is required to assist Members in their defence of the appeal.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED: Not providing a case in defence of the decision. This would mean that the Council would almost certainly be liable for appeal costs of the other parties at the appeal.

CABINET MEMBER(S):				
DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY CABINET	None			
MEMBER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR DECISION:				
DISPENSATIONS GRANTED :	None			
CONFLICT OF INTERESTS DECLARED BY A	CABINET MEMBER			
CONSULTED IN RESPECT OF THIS DECISION: None				